

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction and Summary	1-1
2	Project Description	2-1
3	Alternatives Description	3-1
4	Environmental Analysis Introduction	4-1
	4.1 Aesthetics	4.1-1
	4.2 Agricultural and Forest Resources	4.2-1
	4.3 Air Quality	4.3-1
	4.4 Biological Resources	4.4-1
	4.5 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4.5-1
	4.6 Geology and Soils	4.6-1
	4.7 Greenhouse Gases	4.7-1
	4.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4.8-1
	4.9 Hydrology and Water Quality.....	4.9-1
	4.10 Land Use, Population and Housing.....	4.10-1
	4.11 Mineral Resources.....	4.11-1
	4.12 Noise and Vibration	4.12-1
	4.13 Public Services, Utilities, and Recreation.....	4.13-1
	4.14 Transportation	4.14-1
5	Alternatives Analysis	5-1
6	CEQA Required Sections	6-1
7	Preparers	7-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1 Project Vicinity	2-13
Figure 2-2 Existing Conditions	2-14
Figure 2-3 Proposed Project	2-15
Figure 2-4 Sulphur Creek Bridge Conceptual Plan.....	2-16
Figure 4.1-1 Photosimulation Viewpoints.....	4.1-33
Figure 4.4-1 Biological Communities	4.4-29
Figure 4.4-2 Sensitive Habitats	4.4-30
Figure 4.4-3 California Red-Legged Frog Habitat Types.....	4.4-31
Figure 4.4-4 Callippe Silverspot Butterfly Conservation Area.....	4.4-32
Figure 4.4-5 Project Impacts and Preserved Sensitive Habitat	4.4-33
Figure 4.6-1 Geology Map of Project Area	4.6-13

Figure 4.6-2 Soils Map of Project Area	4.6-14
Figure 4.6-3 Fault Map Project Area.....	4.6-15
Figure 4.6-4 Landslides in the Project Area.....	4.6-16
Figure 4.6-5 Liquefaction Potential in Project Area	4.6-17
Figure 4.9-1 Existing Conditions Basin Delineation.....	4.9-19
Figure 4.9-2 Proposed Project Basin Delineation.....	4.9-20
Figure 4.10-1 Land Use Map	4.10-9
Figure 4.12-1 Noise and Vibration Monitoring Locations.....	4.12-23
Figure 4.13-1 Recreational Resources in the Project Area	4.13-11
Figure 4.14-1 Transportation Network	4.14-13

LIST OF IMAGES

Image 1: Existing View Looking East from Water Tank Site (June 2010)	4.1-2
Image 2: Existing View Looking East from Beverly Hills Park (June 2010)	4.1-2
Image 3A: Site B17, Lake Herman Park: Existing Conditions	4.1-14
Image 3B: Site B17, Lake Herman Park: Year 35	4.1-14
Image 3C: Site B17, Lake Herman Park: Post Reclamation	4.1-14
Image 4A: Site V4, Blue Rock Springs Golf Course: Existing Conditions	4.1-19
Image 4B: Site V4, Blue Rock Springs Golf Course: Year 35	4.1-19
Image 4C: Site V4, Blue Rock Springs Golf Course: Post-Reclamation	4.1-19
Image 5A: Site V12, Dan Foley Park: Existing Conditions	4.1-21
Image 5B: Site V12, Dan Foley Park: Year 35	4.1-21
Image 5C: Site V12, Dan Foley Park: Post-Reclamation	4.1-21
Image 6A: Site V28, Hidden Brooke Golf Course: Existing Conditions	4.1-23
Image 6B: Site V28, Hidden Brooke Golf Course: Year 35	4.1-23
Image 6C: Site V28, Hidden Brooke Golf Course: Post-Reclamation	4.1-23
Image 7A: Site V26, Hans Memorial Park: Existing Conditions.....	4.1-25
Image 7B: Site V26, Hans Memorial Park: Year 35.....	4.1-25
Image 7C: Site V26, Hans Memorial Park: Post-Reclamation.....	4.1-25
Image 8A: Site V59, Skyview Cemetery: Existing Conditions	4.1-27
Image 8B: Site V59, Skyview Cemetery: Year 35	4.1-27
Image 8C: Site V59, Skyview Cemetery: Post-Reclamation	4.1-27
Image 9A: Site V45, Patterson Elementary School: Existing Conditions	4.1-29
Image 9B: Site V45, Patterson Elementary School: Year 35.....	4.1-29
Image 9C: Site V45, Patterson Elementary School: Post-Reclamation	4.1-29
Image 10A: Site C4, Carquinez Strait Trail Park: Existing Conditions.....	4.1-30

Image 10B: Site C4, Carquinez Strait Trail Park: Year 35.....	4.1-30
Image 10C: Site C4, Carquinez Strait Trail Park: Post-Reclamation.....	4.1-30

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1 Key Issues to be Resolved in the EIR	1-3
Table 1-2 Impact and Mitigation Summary	1-4
Table 4.1-1 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Aesthetics.....	4.1-8
Table 4.2-1 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Agricultural and Forest Resources.....	4.2-6
Table 4.3-1 Air Pollutant Exceedances in Vallejo, California.....	4.3-4
Table 4.3-2 Relevant California and National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	4.3-6
Table 4.3-3 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Air Quality.....	4.3-9
Table 4.3-4 Project Construction Daily Emissions (Annual Average, lbs/day)	4.3-13
Table 4.3-5 Project Operation Annual Emissions (tons per year)	4.3-13
Table 4.3-6 Cumulative Annual Emissions (tons per year).....	4.3-17
Table 4.4-1 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Biological Resources	4.4-16
Table 4.4-2 Permanent Impacts and Mitigation for Special-Status Species (acres)	4.4-22
Table 4.4-3 Permanent Impacts and Mitigation for Sensitive Habitat (acres)	4.4-24
Table 4.4-4 Permanent Impacts and Mitigation for Wetlands and Waters (acres)	4.4-25
Table 4.5-1 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4.5-10
Table 4.6-1 Active Fault Proximity to Project Site.....	4.6-2
Table 4.6-2 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Geology & Soils.....	4.6-6
Table 4.7-1 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Greenhouse Gases and Energy Use	4.7 -5
Table 4.7-2 Annual Project GhG Emissions – Land Use	4.7 -8
Table 4.8-1 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4.8 -8
Table 4.9-1 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Hydrology and Water Quality	4.9 -8
Table 4.9-2 Peak Runoff Rate: Existing and Project Conditions	4.9 -13
Table 4.9-3 Runoff Volume: Existing and Project Conditions	4.9 -13
Table 4.9-4 Size of Watershed for Lake Herman and Lake Chabot.....	4.9 -16
Table 4.10-1 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Land Use, Population and Housing	4.10 -5
Table 4.11-1 Evaluation Criteria with Threshold of Significance – Mineral Resources ...	4.11 -3
Table 4.12-1 Typical Sound Levels Measured in the Environment and Industry	4.12 -3

Table 4.12-2 Definitions of Acoustical Terms	4.12 -4
Table 4.12-3 Short-Term Noise Measurements along Area Roadways	4.12 -7
Table 4.12-4 Short-Term Noise Measurements within Lake Herman Quarry	4.12 -8
Table 4.12-5 Solano County Land Use Noise Compatibility Guidelines.....	4.12 -10
Table 4.12-6 Solano County Non-Transportation Noise Standards	4.12 -11
Table 4.12-7 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Noise	4.12 -15
Table 4.12-8 Regular Hours of Operation.....	4.12 -17
Table 4.12-9 Worst-Case Operational Noise Levels (dBA) at Most-affected Receptors to West.....	4.12 -18
Table 4.12-10 Worst-Case Operational Noise Levels (dBA) at Most-affected Receptor to East.....	4.12 -19
Table 4.13-1 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Public Services, Recreation, and Utilities.....	4.13 -7
Table 4.14-1 Evaluation Criteria with Significance Threshold – Transportation.....	4.14 -6
Table 4.14-2 Existing Intersection Level of Service	4.14 -9
Table 5-1 Impact Summary of the Proposed Project, Alternatives, and Original Project	5-4

APPENDICES

- Appendix A – Mining and Reclamation Plan
- Appendix B – Road Improvement Plans, Lake Herman Road
- Appendix C – Notice of Preparation and Letters Received During Scoping
- Appendix D – Visual Impact Assessment
- Appendix E – Air Quality Impact Assessment Report
- Appendix F – Revised Biological Resource Assessment
- Appendix G – Surface Hydrologic Study
- Appendix H – Noise Modeling
- Appendix I – Preliminary Slope Stability Analysis